UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/003,370	11/15/2001	Hamid S. Abroy	LEX-80	4701
SQUARE D COMPANY 1415 South Roselle Road			EXAMINER	
			TRAN, HANH VAN	
Palatine, IL 60067			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3637	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/03/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Application No. Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/003,370	ABROY, HAMID S.				
microlew Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	HANH V. TRAN	3637				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>HANH V. TRAN</u> .	(3)					
(2) Mr. Larry Shrout.	(4)					
Date of Interview: 28 May 2008.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>9</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed:						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g	)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant's representative inquired about the Advisory action mailed on 4/30/2008</u> and explained the invention in relation to the claimed language. Various language was suggested by the examiner in defining the slots in the first and second walls by reciting that at least two ledges protruding from each wall to form a slot therein in order to obviate at least some of the issues presented in the Advisory action. However, no agreement was reached.						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
Francisco Nata Managera de la Companya de la Compan	/Hanh V. Tran/ Art Unit 3637					
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Examiner's signature, if requi	rea				